

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,407 04/06/2001		Hiroaki Adachi	450100-03127	5169
20999 7590 06/26/2007 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.	ENUE- 10TH FL.		SHIBRU, HELEN	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/828,407	ADACHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HELEN SHIBRU	2621	

	HELEN SHIBRU	2021						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 22 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 	out prior to the data of filing a briof	will not be entered b	0001100					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further countries (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	on coponaing named or intally rep	colou oluliilo.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplicate various anione	(
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered buse See Continuation sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	g							

Continuation of 11. In response to Applicant's argument that the cited reference of Newman does not constitute a 'storage means" and Newman merely uses one storage means, 222, the Examiner disagrees. Newman discloses the system loads previously captured hypermedia portions from the storage 222 and places them into a storyboard. Hence the storage 222 and the storyboard are not one storage means. Newman clearly indicates the hypermedia portions are stored in the storyboard. Newman discloses a consumer edits the image, see fig. 5, where it shows the dual path to and from the storage 222. Newman discloses the bus 214 transfer data and address among compression engine 212, the media editor 210, the processor 218 and the storage 222. In addition the claim does not specifically recite the first and the second storages are physically different. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

SUPERIOR OF CENTER 2600